

Principles and Practice of Pain Medicine

ZAHID H. BAJWA R. JOSHUA WOOTTON **CAROL A. WARFIELD**

Principles and Practice of Pain Medicine

NOTICE

Medicine is an ever-changing science. As new research and clinical experience broaden our knowledge, changes in treatment and drug therapy are required. The authors and the publisher of this work have checked with sources believed to be reliable in their efforts to provide information that is complete and generally in accord with the standards accepted at the time of publication. However, in view of the possibility of human error or changes in medical sciences, neither the authors nor the publisher nor any other party who has been involved in the preparation or publication of this work warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they disclaim all responsibility for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from use of the information contained in this work. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. For example and in particular, readers are advised to check the product information sheet included in the package of each drug they plan to administer to be certain that the information contained in this work is accurate and that changes have not been made in the recommended dose or in the contraindications for administration. This recommendation is of particular importance in connection with new or infrequently used drugs.

Principles and Practice of Pain Medicine

Third Edition

Editors

Zahid H. Bajwa, MD

Director, Boston Headache Institute Director, Clinical Research at Boston PainCare Tufts University School of Medicine Boston, Massachusetts

R. Joshua Wootton, MDiv, PhD

Director of Pain Psychology Arnold-Warfield Pain Center Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Brookline, Massachusetts Assistant Professor Department of Anesthesia Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

Carol A. Warfield, MD

Lowenstein Distinguished Professor of Anesthesia Harvard Medical School Department of Anesthesia, Critical Care and Pain Medicine Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Boston, Massachusetts

New York Chicago San Francisco Athens London Madrid Mexico City Milan New Delhi Singapore Sydney Toronto

Copyright © 2017 by McGraw-Hill Education. All rights reserved. Except as permitted under the United States Copyright Act of 1976, no part of this publication may be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

ISBN: 978-0-07-177270-9

MHID: 0-07-177270-7.

The material in this eBook also appears in the print version of this title: ISBN: 978-0-07-176683-8, MHID: 0-07-176683-9.

eBook conversion by codeMantra Version 1.0

All trademarks are trademarks of their respective owners. Rather than put a trademark symbol after every occurrence of a trademarked name, we use names in an editorial fashion only, and to the benefit of the trademark owner, with no intention of infringement of the trademark. Where such designations appear in this book, they have been printed with initial caps.

McGraw-Hill Education eBooks are available at special quantity discounts to use as premiums and sales promotions or for use in corporate training programs. To contact a representative, please visit the Contact Us page at www.mhprofessional.com.

TERMS OF USE

This is a copyrighted work and McGraw-Hill Education and its licensors reserve all rights in and to the work. Use of this work is subject to these terms. Except as permitted under the Copyright Act of 1976 and the right to store and retrieve one copy of the work, you may not decompile, disassemble, reverse engineer, reproduce, modify, create derivative works based upon, transmit, distribute, disseminate, sell, publish or sublicense the work or any part of it without McGraw-Hill Education's prior consent. You may use the work for your own noncommercial and personal use; any other use of the work is strictly prohibited. Your right to use the work may be terminated if you fail to comply with these terms.

THE WORK IS PROVIDED "AS IS." McGRAW-HILL EDUCATION AND ITS LICENSORS MAKE NO GUARANTEES OR WAR-RANTIES AS TO THE ACCURACY, ADEQUACY OR COMPLETENESS OF OR RESULTS TO BE OBTAINED FROM USING THE WORK, INCLUDING ANY INFORMATION THAT CAN BE ACCESSED THROUGH THE WORK VIA HYPERLINK OR OTHERWISE, AND EXPRESSLY DISCLAIM ANY WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. McGraw-Hill Education and its licensors do not warrant or guarantee that the functions contained in the work will meet your requirements or that its operation will be uninterrupted or error free. Neither McGraw-Hill Education nor its licensors shall be liable to you or anyone else for any inaccuracy, error or omission, regardless of cause, in the work or for any damages resulting therefrom. McGraw-Hill Education has no responsibility for the content of any information accessed through the work. Under no circumstances shall McGraw-Hill Education and/ or its licensors be liable for any indirect, incidental, special, punitive, consequential or similar damages that result from the use of or inability to use the work, even if any of them has been advised of the possibility of such damages. This limitation of liability shall apply to any claim or cause whatsoever whether such claim or cause arises in contract, tort or otherwise.

This book is dedicated to my wife Fatima and children Ahmad, Tania, Sarah, and Zaydan, "for I have learned that every heart will get what it prays for most." (Hafez).

Zahid H. Bajwa, MD

This book is dedicated to my wife Lois for her "faith, hope, and love; and the greatest of these is love." (I Cor 13:13).

R. Joshua Wootton, MDiv, PhD

To my husband Gordon and my children Richard, Chris, and Alexandra for their love and support.

Carol A. Warfield, MD

Zahid H. Bajwa **R. Joshua Wootton** Carol A. Warfield

This page intentionally left blank

Contents

PART 1 Pain: Biology, Anatomy, and Physiology 1

- 31 Diagnosis and Management of Cervicogenic Headache270 David M. Biondi and Zahid H. Bajwa
- Egilius L.H. Spierings Melissa L. Rayhill and Paul G. Mathew

Section C: Pain in the Terminally Ill

This page intentionally left blank

Contributors

Ayesha Abdeen, MD, FRCSC

Department of Orthopaedics Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

Salahadin Abdi, MD, PhD

Professor, Chair, and Clinical Medical Director Department of Pain Medicine The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center Houston, Texas

Vimal K. Akhouri, MBBS, MD

Instructor, Department of Anesthesiology Harvard Medical School Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Boston, Massachusetts

Nina K. Anderson, PhD

Director, Pre-doctoral Research Harvard School of Dental Medicine Boston, Massachusetts

Moris Aner, MD

Anesthesiologist and Pain Management Specialist Arnold-Warfield Pain Center Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Assistant Professor Department of Anesthesia Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

Amit Asopa, MD

Staff Physician, Pain Management Anesthesiology Institute Cleveland Clinic Abu Dhabi Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates

Joseph F. Audette, MD

Chief, Department of Pain Medicine Harvard Vanguard Medical Associates Assistant Professor Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

Fadi Badlissi, MD, MSc, RhMSUS

Assistant Professor of Medicine, Harvard Medical School Director of The Musculoskeletal Medicine Unit Department of Orthopedics and Division of Rheumatology Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Boston, Massachusetts

Zahid H. Bajwa, MD

Director, Boston Headache Institute Director, Clinical Research, Boston PainCare Tufts University School of Medicine Boston, Massachusetts

Andrew P. Baranowski, MD, FFPMRCA, FRCA, MBBS, BScHons

Consultant UCLH and Honorary Senior Lecturer UCL The Pain Management Centre National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery University College London Hospitals London, England

Rodrigo Benavides, MD

Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative and Pain Medicine Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

Charles B. Berde, MD, PhD

Sara Page Mayo Chair and Chief Division of Pediatric Pain Medicine Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative and Pain Medicine Boston Children's Hospital Professor of Anesthesia, Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

Chantal Berna Renella, MD, PhD

Centre d'Antalgie, Service d'Anesthésiologie Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois (CHUV) Université de Lausanne Lausanne, Switzerland

David M. Biondi, DO

Adjunct Associate Professor, Department of Biomedical Sciences and Center of Excellence in Neuroscience University of New England, College of Osteopathic Medicine Biddeford, Maine Senior Director, Medical Affairs and Clinical Research for North America OTC Johnson & Johnson Consumer, Inc. Fort Washington, Pennsylvania

Donna Bloodworth, MD

Specialist in Pain Medicine Quentin Mease Hospital Houston, Texas

Joanne Borg-Stein, MD

Associate Professor of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

Jonathan M. Borkum, PhD

Department of Psychology University of Maine Orono, Maine Health Psych Maine Waterville, Maine

David Borsook, MD, PhD

Professor, Harvard Medical School The Mayday Fund/Louis Herlands Chair in Pain Systems Neuroscience Director, The Center for Pain and the Brain, Harvard Medical School Director, P.A.I.N. Group, Boston Children's Hospital Boston, Massachusetts

Daniel B. Carr, MD

Director, Tufts Program on Pain Research, Education and Policy Professor, Public Health and Community Medicine (primary appointment) Professor of Anesthesiology, Medicine and Molecular Physiology and Pharmacology (secondary appointments) President, American Academy of Pain Medicine Boston, Massachusetts

Margaret A. Caudill-Slosberg, MD, PhD, MPH

Clinical Associate Professor of Community and Family Medicine Dartmouth Geisel School of Medicine Instructor in Anesthesiology Department of Anesthesiology Dartmouth Hitchcock Medical Center Lebanon, New Hampshire

James Celestin, MD

Orthopedic Surgery, Physiatry Reliant Medical Group Southborough, Massachusetts

Thomas Chai, MD

Assistant Professor Department of Pain Medicine Division of Anesthesiology and Critical Care The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center Houston, Texas

Ronil V. Chandra, MBBS, MMed, FRANZCR

Interventional Neuroradiology Monash Imaging, Monash Health Monash University Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

Lucy Chen, MD

Associate Professor, Harvard Medical School Department of Anesthesia, Critical Care, and Pain Medicine Massachusetts General Hospital Boston, Massachusetts

Michael R. Clark, MD, MPH, MBA

Vice Chair, Clinical Affairs and Director, Chronic Pain Treatment Program Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences Johns Hopkins Medicine Baltimore, Maryland

Robert I. Cohen, MD, MS

Assistant Professor of Anesthesia Harvard Medical School Department of Anesthesia, Critical Care, and Pain Medicine Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Boston, Massachusetts

Stephen A. Cohen, MD, MBA

Instructor in Anesthesia Harvard Medical School Director of Ambulatory Anesthesia Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Boston, Massachusetts

Steven P. Cohen, MD

Director of Medical Education, Pain Medicine Division Professor of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine The Johns Hopkins Hospital Baltimore, Maryland

David J. Copenhaver, MD, MPH

Faculty, Division of Pain Medicine Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine University of California, Davis Medical Center Sacramento, California Director of Cancer Pain Management and Supportive Care Director of Pain Medicine Telehealth Sacramento, California

F. Michael Cutrer, MD

Associate Professor of Neurology Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research Rochester, Minnesota

Peter P. Czakanski, MD

Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics University of Alabama at Birmingham Birmingham, Alabama

Alexander F. Debonet, MD

Specialist in Anesthesia and Pain Medicine Martin Medical Group Stuart, Florida

Timothy R. Deer, MD

President and CEO, Center for Pain Relief, Inc. Clinical Professor, Anesthesiology Department West Virginia University School of Medicine Charleston, West Virginia

Thanh Dinh, DPM

Assistant Professor of Surgery Harvard Medical School Program Director Podiatric Surgical Residency Program Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Boston, Massachusetts

Larry C. Driver, MD

Professor, Department of Pain Medicine The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center Houston, Texas

Jennifer Earle, MD

Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

Robert R. Edwards, PhD, MSPH

Associate Professor Department of Anesthesiology Brigham and Women's Hospital Boston, Massachusetts

Mohamed Elkersh, MD

Department of Anesthesia Harvard Medical School Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Boston, Massachusetts

Jennifer A. Elliott, MD

Associate Professor Department of Anesthesiology University of Missouri-Kansas City School of Medicine Kansas City, Missouri

Gilbert J. Fanciullo, MD, MS

Director, Pain Management Center Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center Lebanon, New Hampshire

Ephrem Fernandez, PhD

Professor, Department of Psychology University of Texas at San Antonio San Antonio, Texas

Lauren J. Fisher, MD

Department of Anesthesia, Critical Care, and Pain Medicine Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Boston, Massachusetts

Scott M. Fishman, MD

Professor of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine Chief, Division of Pain Medicine Vice Chair, Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine Director, Center for Advancing Pain Relief University of California, Davis School of Medicine Sacramento, California

Jillian B. Frank, PhD

Clinical Psychologist Brookline, Massachusetts

Preeti Gandhi, MBBS

Attending Physician Pain Management, Department of Anesthesiology Metrohealth Medical Center Cleveland, Ohio

Janice E. Gellis, MD

Pain Management Center Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center Lebanon, New Hampshire

Donald B. Giddon, MA, DMD, PhD

Professor of Developmental Biology (Behavioral Medicine) Emeritus Faculty of Medicine, Harvard University Consultant in Psychology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Pain Management Center Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative and Pain Medicine Boston, Massachusetts

Jatinder S. Gill, MD

Anesthesiologist and Pain Management Specialist Arnold-Warfield Pain Center Beth Israel deaconess Medical Center Assistant Professor Department of Anesthesia Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

Chris Gilligan, MD, MBA

Chief, Division of Pain Medicine Department of Anesthesia, Critical Care, and Pain Medicine Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Assistant Professor of Anesthesia Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

Jeremy Goodwin, MS, MD

Chief, Division of Pain Medicine Oregon Health & Science University (OHSU) Chief of the Division of Pain Medicine and A Senior Attending Physician Oregon Health and Science University Portland, Oregon

Leonidas C. Goudas, MD, PhD

Assistant Professor of Anesthesiology Tufts University School of Medicine Special and Scientific Staff New England Medical Center Boston, Massachusetts

Abhishek Gowda, MD

Pain Management Fellow Stanford Health Care Redwood City, California

Martin Grabois, MD

Specialist in Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Baylor College of Medicine Houston, Texas

Daniel P. Gray, MD

Academic Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine Edmonton, Canada

Christine D. Greco, MD

Senior Associate in Pain Medicine, Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative and Pain Medicine Boston Children's Hospital Assistant Professor of Anesthesia Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

Brian M. Grosberg, MD

Director, Montefiore Headache Center Associate Professor of Neurology Albert Einstein College of Medicine Montefiore Headache Center Bronx, New York

David B. Hackney, MD

Professor of Radiology, Harvard Medical School Chief, Neuroradiology Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Boston, Massachusetts

Joshua A. Hirsch, MD, PhD

Specialist in Diagnostic Radiology Massachusetts General Hospital Boston, Massachusetts

Charles C. Ho, MD

Beverly Anesthesia Associates Beverly, Massachusetts

Stuart W. Hough, MD

Anesthesiologist (pain control) Pain Management Specialists Rockville, Maryland

Jessica B. Jameson, MD

Medical Director Northwest Pain Management Post Falls, Idaho

Robert N. Jamison, PhD

Professor, Departments of Anesthesiology and Psychiatry, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

Gaurav Jindal, MD

Clinical Fellow (2011–2012), Neuroradiology Department of Radiology Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Boston, Massachusetts

Ronald M. Kanner, MD, FAAN, FACP

Associate Dean for Career Advisement Professor and Chairman Emeritus, Department of Neurology Hofstra Northwell School of Medicine Hempstead, New York

John C. Keel, MD

Department of Orthopaedics Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

Douglas Keene, MD

Pain Medicine Specialist, Attending Anesthesiologist and Clinical Informaticist Boston Pain Care Center, Greater Boston Anesthesia Associates Waltham, Massachusetts

John M. Kelley, PhD

Associate Professor, Psychology Department Endicott College Beverly, Massachusetts Deputy Director, Program in Placebo Studies Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts Staff Psychologist, Psychiatry Department Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts

Robert D. Kerns, PhD

Departments of Psychiatry, Neurology and Psychology, Yale University Pain Research, Informatics, Multimorbidities and Education (PRIME) Center, VA Connecticut Healthcare System New Haven, Connecticut

Matthew G. Kestenbaum, MD

Medical Director, Health Information and Training, Capital Caring Falls Church, Virginia

Soorena Khojasteh, MD

Specialist in Pain Medicine Boston Children's Hospital Boston, Massachusetts

Harrison Kibe, MD, MPH

Clinical Fellow Division of Pain Management Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

Dhanalakshmi Koyyalagunta, MD

Professor, Department of Pain Medicine Division of Anesthesiology and Critical Care The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center Houston, Texas

Ronald J. Kulich, PhD

Professor, Tufts School of Dental Medicine Tufts Craniofacial Pain Center Lecturer, Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

Amaro J. Laria, PhD

Clinical Instructor, Department of Psychiatry Cambridge Health Alliance, Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts Co-Founder and Director of Training, Boston Behavioral Medicine Brookline, Massachusetts

Katharine M. Larsson, RN, CS, PhD

Co-Founder and Clinical Director Boston Behavioral Medicine Brookline, Massachusetts

John M. Lavelle, DO

Spine Physiatrist Tennessee Orthopaedic Clinics Knoxville, Tennessee

Alyssa A. LeBel, MD

Senior Associate, Anesthesiology and Neurology Boston Children's Hospital Assistant Professor, Anesthesiology Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

Anthony C. Lee, MD

Physiatrist and Pain Management Specialist Arnold-Warfield Pain Center Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Instructor in Anesthesia Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

Steve C. Lee, MD

Specialist in Anesthesiology Comprehensive Neurological Solutions Hammond, Louisiana

Lance J. Lehmann, MD

Medical Director, Pain Consultants of Florida Medical Director, Hallandale Outpatient Surgical Center Hollywood, Florida

Thabele M. Leslie-Mazwi, MD

Specialist in Neurology Massachusetts General Hospital Boston, Massachusetts

Danijela Levačić, MD

Attending Neurologist, Division of Neuro-Oncology, Northwell Health Manhasset, New York Assistant Professor of Neurology, Hofstra Northwell School of Medicine Manhasset, New York

Morris Levin, MD

Professor, Department of Neurology University of California, San Francisco School of Medicine San Francisco, California

Yuan-Chi Lin, MD, MPH

Senior Associate in Anesthesia and Pain Medicine Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative and Pain Medicine Boston Children's Hospital Associate Professor of Anesthesia (Pediatrics) Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

Arthur G. Lipman, Pharmd

Professor, College of Pharmacy Director of Clinical Pharmacology, Pain Management and Research Centers University of Utah Health Sciences Center Salt Lake City, Utah

Jennifer Luz, MD

Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

Sania Mahmood, MS, MD

Drexel University College of Medicine Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Atif B. Malik, MD

Board of Directors American Spine Frederick, Maryland

Jianren Mao, MD, PhD

Richard J. Kitz Professor of Anesthesia Research, Harvard Medical School, Harvard University Department of Anesthesia, Critical Care, and Pain Medicine Massachusetts General Hospital Boston, Massachusetts

Norman J. Marcus, MD

Associate Professor of Anesthesiology and Psychiatry Director, Division of Muscle Pain Research New York University School of Medicine Director, Norman Marcus Pain Institute New York, New York

Paul G. Mathew

Director of Continuing Medical Education Brigham and Women's Hospital Harvard Medical School Department of Neurology John R. Graham Headache Center Boston, Massachusetts Director of Headache Medicine Cambridge Health Alliance Harvard Medical School Division of Neurology Cambridge, Massachusetts

William McCarberg, MD

Chronic Pain Management Program Kaiser Permanente San Diego, California

Robert M. McCarron, DO

Associate Professor Director, Pain Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences Director, Internal Medicine/Psychiatry Residency Program Department of Anesthesiology, Division of Pain Medicine Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences Department of Internal Medicine University of California, Davis School of Medicine Davis, California

Brant McCartan, DPM, MBA, MS

Milwaukee Foot and Ankle Specialists Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Cristin A. McMurray, MD

Blue Hill Pain Care, PLLC Braintree, Massachusetts

Noshir R. Mehta, DMD, MDS, MS

Professor and Associate Dean International Relations Chairman General Dentistry Director Tufts University School of Dental Medicine Boston, Massachusetts

Siegfried Mense, Prof. Dr. med.

Department of Neurophysiology, CBTM Medical Faculty Mannheim University of Heidelberg Mannheim, Germany

Natalie Moryl, MD

Specialist in Pain Medicine Memorial Neurology Group New York, New York

J. Cameron Muir, MD, FAAHPM

EVP and CMO, Capital Caring Washington, DC Clinical Associate Professor of Oncology, Johns Hopkins Medicine Baltimore, Maryland

Nicholas K. Muraoka, DO

Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Specialist The Queen's Medical Center, Straub Clinic & Hospital, Pali Momi Medical Center Honolulu, Hawaii

Jyotsna V. Nagda, MD

Assistant Professor Department of Anesthesiology, Critical Care, and Pain Medicine Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Boston, Massachusetts

Umer Najib, MD

Department of Neurology West Virginia University Morgantown, West Virginia

Christopher Noto, MD

Department of Anesthesiology Division of Pain Medicine University of California, San Diego School of Medicine La Jolla, California

Akiko Okifuji, PhD

Professor, Department of Anesthesiology Pain Research and Management Center University of Utah Salt Lake City, Utah

Said Osman, MD

Director of Orthopedics American Spine Frederick, Maryland

Einar Ottestad, MD

Clinical Assistant Professor Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative and Pain Medicine Stanford University School of Medicine Redwood City, California

Amar Parikh, MD

Anesthesiologist and Interventional Pain Management Physician **OrthoNY** Albany, New York

Josemaria Paterno, MD

Specialist in Pain Medicine Washington Center for Pain Management Puyallup, Washington

Nagamani Peri, MD

Instructor, Harvard Medical School Staff Radiologist, Neuroradiology Department of Radiology Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Boston, Massachusetts

Jason E. Pope, MD President and CEO, Summit Pain Alliance Santa Rosa, California

Russell K. Portenoy, MD

Department of Pain Medicine and Palliative Care Beth Israel Medical Center New York, New York

James D. Rabinov, MD

Departments of Radiology and Neurosurgery Massachusetts General Hospital Boston, Massachusetts

Alan M. Rapoport, MD

Clinical Professor of Neurology David Geffen School of Medicine University of California, Los Angeles Los Angeles, California

James P. Rathmell, MD

Professor of Anesthesia, Harvard Medical School Chair, Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative and Pain Medicine Brigham and Women's Hospital Boston, Massachusetts

Melissa L. Rayhill, MD

Brigham and Women's Hospital Harvard Medical School Department of Neurology John R. Graham Headache Center Boston, Massachusetts

Steven H. Richeimer, MD

Chief, Division of Pain Medicine Associate Professor, Departments of Anesthesiology and Psychiatry Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California Los Angeles, California

Joseph Rigby, PT, DPT

Spine & Sports Injury Center Boston, Massachusetts

Daniel Rockers, PhD

President, Sacramento Valley Psychological Association Psychologist/Psychotherapist Sacramento, California

Joshua M. Rosenow, MD, FAANS, FACS

Director, Functional Neurosurgery Associate Professor of Neurosurgery, Neurology and Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine Chicago, Illinois

Edgar L. Ross, MD

Director of Pain Center Brigham and Women's Hospital Associate Professor of Anesthesia Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

Seward B. Rutkove, MD

Department of Neurology Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Boston, Massachusetts

Christine N. Sang, MD, MPH

Associate Professor of Anesthesia, Harvard Medical School Director, Translational Pain Research, Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative and Pain Medicine Brigham and Women's Hospital Boston, Massachusetts

Jerome Schofferman, MD

SpineCare Medical Group Daly City and San Francisco, California

Daniel P. Schwartz, MD

Department of Neurology National Institutes of Health Bethesda, Maryland

Richard Scott Stayner, MD, PhD

St. Vincent Healthcare Pain Center Billings, Montana

Steven J. Scrivani, DDS, DMSC

Director, Division of Oral and Maxillofacial Pain Director, Orofacial Pain Residency Program Massachusetts General Hospital Boston, Massachusetts

Anna Serels, MD

Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

Vinil Shah, MD

Specialist in Neuroradiology Massachusetts General Hospital Diagnostic Radiology Boston, Massachusetts

Huma Sheikh, MD

Neurology Department, BWH Harvard Medical School, Boston

Sandeep Sherlekar, MD

Clinical Associate Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine at Johns Hopkins University Medical Director, Advanced Pain Surgery Center Founder and Board of Directors, American Spine Frederick, Maryland

Samir J. Sheth, MD

Assistant Professor Director of Neuromodulation and Director of Student and Resident Training University of California, Davis Sacramento, California

Imran J. Siddiqui, MD

Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

Lee S. Simon, MD

Co Managing Director SDG LLC Cambridge, Massachusetts

Thomas T. Simopoulos, MD, MA

Director, Implantable Devices Program Arnold-Warfield Pain Center Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Assistant Professor Department of Anesthesia Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

Konstantin V. Slavin, MD

Professor, Department of Neurosurgery University of Illinois at Chicago Chicago, Illinois

Gerald W. Smetana, MD

Division of General Medicine and Primary Care Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, and Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

Howard S. Smith, MD (deceased)

Professor, Department of Anesthesiology Albany Medical College Albany, New York

Maaz Sohail, MD

Diagnostic Radiologist Columbia, Missouri

Abhilasha Solanki, MBBS, MD

Interventional Pain Management Specialist WRMC Pain Management Clinic Batesville, Arkansas

Mark Sollars, MS

Research Coordinator Montefiore Headache Center Bronx, New York

Jean C. Solodiuk, RN, PhD

Boston, Massachusetts

Egilius L.H. Spierings, MD, PhD

Department of Neurology Brigham and Women's Hospital Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

Steven Stanos, DO

Specialist in Pain Medicine RIC Center for Pain Management Chicago, Illinois

Michael Stanton-Hicks, MD

Pain Management Department, Center for Neurological Restoration Consulting Staff, Children's Hospital CCF Shaker Campus Pediatric Pain Rehabilitation Program Cleveland, Ohio

Melissa T. Stone, PsyD

Psychologist Child and Family Psychological Services Norwood, Massachusetts

Scott Strassels, PharmD, BCPS

Pharmaceutical Outcomes Research and Policy Program Department of Pharmacy University of Washington Seattle, Washington

Lisa R. Strauss, PhD

Private Practice Brookline, Massachusetts

Shannon Suo, MD

Associate Clinical Professor Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences University of California, Davis Davis, California

Dennis C. Turk, PhD

John and Emma Bonica Endowed Chair for Anesthesiology and Pain Research Director, Center for Pain Research on Impact Measurement, and Effectiveness (C-PRIME) Editor-in-Chief, Clinical Journal of Pain Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine University of Washington Seattle, Washington

Lisa Victor, PhD (deceased)

Assistant Professor of Anesthesiology and Psychiatry Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California Los Angeles, California

Jaya Vijayan, MD

Medical Director, Palliative Care Holy Cross Health Silver Spring, Maryland

Sarah E. Vollbracht, MD

Assistant Professor of Neurology Albert Einstein College of Medicine Montefiore Medical Center Bronx, New York

Steven D. Waldman, MD, JD, MBA

Associate Dean International Programs Chair and Professor, Department of Medical Humanities and Bioethics Clinical Professor of Anesthesiology University of Missouri-Kansas City School of Medicine Kansas City, Missouri

Mark S. Wallace, MD

Professor of Clinical Anesthesiology Chair, Division of Pain Medicine Department of Anesthesiology University of California, San Diego San Diego, California

Thomas N. Ward, MD

Dartmouth Hitchcock Medical Center Professor of Medicine and Neurology Dartmouth Medical School Hanover, New Hampshire

Alan A. Wartenberg, MD, FACP, FASAM

Affiliated Faculty, Brown University Center for Alcohol and Addiction Studies Consulting Physician, Substance Abuse Treatment Program/ Opioid Treatment Program Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center Providence, Rhode Island

Ajay Wasan, MD

Department of Anesthesiology Perioperative and Pain Medicine Brigham and Women's Hospital Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

Nicholas R. Wasson, MD

Instructor in Anesthesiology Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine Chicago, Illinois

Lynn R. Webster, MD

Vice President of Scientific Affairs, PRA Health Sciences Past President, American Academy of Pain Medicine Salt Lake City, Utah

Faye M. Weinstein, PhD

Assistant Professor, Department of Anesthesiology and Psychiatry Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California Los Angeles, California

Ursula Wesselmann, MD, PhD

Professor of Anesthesiology and Neurology Department of Anesthesiology/Division of Pain Medicine University of Alabama at Birmingham Birmingham, Alabama

Harriët Wittink, PhD, MSc, PT

Chair, Research Group Lifestyle and Health Faculty of Health Care Utrecht University of Applied Sciences Utrecht, the Netherlands

Edison H. Wong, MD

Physiatrist, Pain Medicine Waltham, Massachusetts

R. Joshua Wootton, MDiv, PhD

Director of Pain Psychology Arnold-Warfield Pain Center Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Brookline, Massachusetts Assistant Professor Department of Anesthesia Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

Jason C. Wu, MD

Clinical Fellow, Harvard Medical School Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Boston, Massachusetts

Tony L. Yaksh, PhD

Professor of Anesthesiology and Pharmacology University of California, San Diego La Jolla, California

Aaron J. Yang, MD

Assistant Professor, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Vanderbilt University Medical Center Nashville, Tennessee

Albert J. Yoo, MD

Specialist in Diagnostic Radiology Massachusetts General Hospital Boston, Massachusetts

Sean R. Zion, MA

Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative and Pain Medicine Brigham and Women's Hospital Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

This page intentionally left blank

Foreword

The alleviation of pain has been central to the humane practice of medicine since its ancient beginnings. How this essential mission has been carried out, however, has evolved exponentially with time, driven by the expansion of medical knowledge, the invention of new treatments, and ongoing changes in the practice of medicine itself. Today, this evolution is unfolding in the United States against the backdrop of radical changes in the way in which healthcare is practiced and financed.

The rapid pace of change in the knowledge and care of pain has motivated this revised and expanded third edition of *Principles and Practice of Pain Medicine.* Since the previous edition was published in 2004, new treatments and treatment modalities have been created; a major paradigm shift has occurred in the use of opioid analgesics; and substantial progress has been made in how pain is studied, taught, and treated.

What has not changed is the demand for pain relief. If anything, the tide of patients in pain has swelled: the Institute of Medicine (IOM) reported in 2011 that about 100 million American adults suffer from chronic pain, more than those suffering from diabetes, heart disease, and cancer combined.¹ The annual direct and indirect cost is estimated to exceed \$600 billion annually;¹ and these estimates do not include the burdens of acute pain, pain in pediatric populations, cancer-related pain, or pain at the end of life. Opioid analgesics, which have been widely deployed in the past decade against this onslaught of chronic pain, continue to be associated with efficacy data that is weak to inadequate. In addition, these agents have proven to pose substantial risks and to require greater caution than was widely recognized prior to publication of the second edition. Since then, data have convincingly shown a trend toward the excessive prescribing of opioids, as well as the dramatic scope of the U.S. epidemic of prescription drug abuse, which only recently has shown signs of easing.2

Viewing the IOM findings of high prevalence and costs of pain, in the light of both the epidemic of prescription opioid abuse and the fact that the U.S. presently consumes the vast majority of the world supply of prescription opioids, strongly suggests that many patients are being inadequately treated for their pain. It has become clear that inadequate treatment may result from too *much* as well as too *little* treatment. We are reminded that the enthusiasm for the benefits of analgesic therapies must be tempered by a clear-eyed appreciation for their risks. This is just one of the important attitudinal shifts that have been reflected in the revisions of this third edition—shifts that may also apply to procedural and psychosocial options for pain management.

Currently, medicine possesses greater knowledge and more tools to manage pain than ever before. Yet the foundational scientific knowledge base for pain is still insufficient to fully support treatment decisions and major health policies. The National Institutes of Health continues to spend a disproportionately small fraction of its budget on pain relative to the substantial burden of pain on patients and society at large. In addition to inadequate funding of research in pain medicine, education about pain and its safe and effective management is astonishingly under-represented in the curricula for most pre-licensure healthcare professional schools, as well as post-graduate and continuing education programs. According to the 2011 IOM report on Pain in America: *"Despite the large role that care of patients with pain will play in their daily practice,*

many health professionals, especially physicians, appear underprepared for and uncomfortable with carrying out this aspect of their work. These professionals need and deserve greater knowledge and skills so they can contribute to the necessary cultural transformation in the perception and treatment of people with pain." The transformation for pain care envisioned by the IOM will require recognizing pain and its management as a core component of the education for every health professional.

Many of the obstacles that impede progress in addressing pain may be attributed to its omnipresence throughout healthcare, which confounds division into neat partitions and units. The traditional organizations that are intended to support patient care, education, and research are often unable to fully integrate the vast dimensions of pain, too often leading to fragmented organizations and programs. It is no surprise that pain remains poorly integrated within the siloed departmental structure of traditional medicine or the vital institutions that support research and education. These systemic failings mean that clinicians (generalists *and* specialists), as well as educators, researchers, and students, are too often ill-equipped to effectively deal with the challenge of helping the millions of Americans who have complex, multi-dimensional pain conditions.

In the midst of massive economic uncertainty in U.S. healthcare, it might appear that we simply cannot afford to make such foundational changes. However, evidence of the costs associated with the current epidemic of prescription drug abuse, as well as the substantial costs associated with inadequately treated pain, suggests that we cannot afford *not* to make these changes. Doing so will require intensified partnerships and integration within our health systems and with the organizations that fund our research, accredit our schools for health professionals, and license and certify our clinicians and healthcare facilities.

It could be easy to despair in the face of these challenges. Yet the years since the previous edition of *Principles and Practice* have also witnessed many hopeful changes. Science continues its steep growth in knowledge of pain, and pain management is increasingly recognized as integral to healthcare. Both of these perspectives drive the advancement of treatment forward. Recent thoughtful policy and regulatory changes raise hope that we are in the process of reversing our excessive reliance on opioids for chronic pain, which may stem the current epidemic of prescription opioid abuse and overdose. Substantial efforts at the federal level are currently underway, holding the promise of an integrated national strategy for pain care, research, and education.

The third edition of this textbook represents over two decades of sustained commitment to interdisciplinary pain education by many thought leaders including Dr Bajwa, Dr Wootton, and Dr Warfield. With its many revisions and updates, this volume presents a review of current perspectives that will be invaluable to specialists and generalists across many health professions. The principles and practice of pain medicine will continue to evolve, of course, but the authors of future editions may look back on this edition as reflecting an important time in medical history. Perhaps they will see that we were at a tipping point beyond which pain care would be solidly founded on quality evidence, comprehensive education, and integration throughout healthcare.

> **Scott M. Fishman, MD Chief, Division of Pain Medicine Professor and Vice Chair for Pain Medicine & Faculty Development Department of Anesthesiology University of California, Davis Health System Sacramento, California**

¹Institute of Medicine. *Relieving pain in American: a blueprint for transforming prevention, care, education, and research*. June 2011.

² Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Vital Statistics Report: Deaths: Final Data for 2013.

This page intentionally left blank

Preface

Dr Carol A. Warfield published the first edition of this book in 1992 as *Principles and Practice of Pain Management* with 39 chapters. At around the same time, the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) began the process of formally accrediting pain medicine fellowship training programs. The majority of ACGMEaccredited pain programs were based in anesthesia departments, but within a few years, physicians from other specialties were welcomed into inter-disciplinary pain fellowship programs. The International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP) was soon joined by many other professional pain societies with the mission of bringing clinicians and researchers to think and work together to understand pain and help find better treatments for patients in pain.

From the "decade of the brain" to the "decade of pain control and research," our improved understanding of pain mechanisms led to more and generally better treatments for our patients. The second edition of this book, published in 2004, was a larger and more comprehensive text reflecting those advances and was entitled *Principles and Practice of Pain Medicine.* Not only had it expanded to 87 chapters, including emphasis on headache disorders, cancer pain, and palliative medicine, but it had also enhanced the multidisciplinary collaborative spirit among editors and authors. Since the publication of the second edition of *Principles and Practice of Pain Medicine,* the field of pain medicine has matured even further as a multidisciplinary specialty with a broad scientific and clinical knowledge base. This third edition seeks to capture the essentials of this knowledge and understanding in a comprehensive review of pain medicine. Since the topic of analgesia is the domain of no single discipline, the content of this book is authored by leaders who represent the many disciplines that constitute this evolving field. One could easily write entire volumes about the topics of each of the chapters in this text, but the task of the authors and editors here was to assimilate this large body of information on pain medicine and condense it into a useful textbook of manageable size. Each chapter represents a careful distillation of current science, key concepts, and clinical treatments of the subject at hand into an accessible format. For those readers seeking to expand their horizons further, the authors have prepared extensive lists of references at the end of each chapter to provide the reader with further details.

This third edition discusses the fundamental dimensions of pain, the various disorders in which pain poses a major problem, and the methods employed in its management, with special emphasis on the use of injections and nerve blocks as an aid to diagnosis, prognosis, and therapy. It covers the biology of pain and the principles of physical and psychological evaluation of chronic pain. It goes on to discuss pain categorized by anatomic location, as well as by syndrome, such as acute and peri-operative pain, neuropathic pain, pain in the terminally ill, and pediatric and geriatric pain. The authors have been careful to incorporate vivid illustrations depicting the physical symptoms and anatomy of each site, as well as key findings from MRI, CT, X-rays, and other imaging and diagnostic technology. The next group of chapters discusses pain therapies and includes detailed attention to pharmacologic treatments, interventional therapies, and complementary and physical treatments of pain. Lastly, because pain medicine has now grown beyond its clinical bounds, we have introduced chapters covering the new areas of pain and law, ethics, and business administration.

The breadth and rapidity of change in this specialty has prompted the publication of this edition, reflecting the expansion of pain medicine with former chapters updated and new chapters added. We have also attempted to be comprehensive in our consideration of pain medicine from a multidisciplinary perspective, with the idea that, regardless of the reader's background and training—whether anesthesiology, medicine, neurology, physical medicine and rehabilitation, neurosurgery, psychology, or other specialties—a picture of pain medicine as a multifaceted and continually evolving field emerges.

We extend our thanks to all of the chapter authors for their tireless work on this project and extend a special thanks to Dr Scott M. Fishman for writing the foreword to the third edition of this textbook and to Drs Thomas T. Simopoulos and John Keel for their help in developing content and multiple contributions. We welcome comments, suggestions, and constructive criticism from all our readers.

> **Zahid H. Bajwa, MD R. Joshua Wootton, MDiv, PhD Carol A. Warfield, MD**

This page intentionally left blank

PART 1

Pain: Biology, Anatomy, and Physiology

Molecular Biology of Pain

Tony L. Yaksh

"Stimuli become adequate as excitants of pain when they are of such intensity as threatens damage to the skin."

 $-Sherrington (1906)^1$

OVERVIEW

The acute activation of small sensory afferent axons by high-intensity thermal and mechanical stimuli evokes locally organized spinal motor reflexes (nociceptive reflexes), autonomic responses, and pain behavior in animals and humans. This effect is mediated by the local encoding of afferent input at the level of the dorsal horn and the activation of spinofugal projection neurons. These projection systems travel both ipsilaterally and contralaterally in the ventrolateral aspect of the spinal cord, projecting supraspinally into the medulla, mesencephalon, and diencephalon. Medullary projections serve to activate spinobulbospinal reflexes that influence autonomic tone. Other projections into the mesencephalon and thalamus are assumed to contribute to the perceptual and complex emotive and discriminative components of the pain state. It is important to appreciate that encoding by the sensory afferent and the spinal dorsal horn of the nociceptive stimulus is the first step in nociceptive processing, and this encoding process contributes properties that are important to the understanding of the behavioral correlates of nociception. The following sections consider aspects of the mechanisms whereby injury leads to an ongoing pain state from the perspective of the organization of the sensory afferents and the spinal dorsal horn. Of particular importance is the appreciation that these linkages have distinct pharmacologies and that these systems can be regulated to display prominent increases (hyperalgesia) and decreases (analgesia) in the input–output function.

PRIMARY AFFERENTS

MORPHOLOGY

Sensory afferents represent the first link between the nervous system and the peripheral milieu. Whether they are enteroceptive organs such as viscera or blood vessels, the meninges, deep structures such as muscle or joint, or the skin, all surfaces are innervated by axons that transduce the local milieu to generate action potentials that provide input to the neuraxis. These primary afferent axons are made up of the central (root) and peripheral (nerve) projections and the dorsal root ganglion cell body that is connected to the root by a sinuous glomerulus. With the exception of several cranial nerves, all axons have their primary cell body in the dorsal root ganglia that lie outside of the neuraxis proper.²

WE NORMAL SENSORY AFFERENT ACTIVITY

Classification of Sensory Afferents These axons may be classified according to the nature of the peripheral terminals, their size (large or small), and state of myelination (myelinated or unmyelinated), as well as, functionally, their conduction velocity (large axons are rapid; small axons are slower) and the modality of stimulation that most effectively results in activity in the associated axon.

Sensory Nerve Endings It is important to emphasize that the peripheral afferent terminal is an exceedingly specialized region. The terminal provides the transduction properties that convert stimulus of a given modality into a local sodium channel–mediated depolarization that leads to activity in the afferent axon.³ This degree of depolarization leads to activation of the axon, the frequency of which is proportional to the stimulus intensity. Large axons typically display complex, specialized structures, such as pacinian corpuscles or stretch sensitive organs, that transduce mechanical stimuli and define the nature of the afferent

^aAβ/Aδ/C is the Erlanger-Gasser classification and refers to axon size; II/III/IV is the Lloyd-Hunt classification and is defined on conduction velocity in muscle afferents. Because of the relationship between size and state of myelination with conduction velocity, these designations are often used interchangeably.

response—for example, a rapidly adapting response in which a continued stimulus may evoke an output when the stimulus is applied and then again when it is removed (i.e., rapidly adapting, as compared with slowly adapting). Small afferents may not display evident specialization and, hence, are commonly referred to as being "free" nerve endings. These free nerve endings are, however, extremely complex, providing a transduction of different modalities and various chemical stimuli.⁴

Effective Stimuli Under normal conditions, the cardinal observation is that sensory afferents show minimal, if any, spontaneous activity. However, the brief application of a peripheral mechanical or thermal stimulus will often evoke intensity-dependent increases in firing rates. As outlined in **Table 1-1**, recording from fibers identified according to their conduction velocity reveals that large A*β* (group II) fibers are typically activated by low thresholds (i.e., mechanoreceptors). Small, lightly myelinated axons A∂ (group III) fibers that conduct at a lower velocity may belong to populations that are heterogeneous, responding to low or high thresholds, mechanical or thermal. Thus, low-threshold afferents may begin firing at temperatures that are not noxious (30°C) and increase their firing rate monotonically as the temperature rises. Other populations of A∂ fibers may begin to fire at temperatures that are mildly noxious and increase their firing rates up to very high temperatures (52–55°C). These would be referred to as thermal nociceptors. Small, unmyelinated, slowly conducting afferents (C fiber or group IV) constitute the largest population of sensory axons. The large majority of these small afferents are activated by high-threshold thermal, mechanical, and chemical stimuli and are, therefore, called C-polymodal nociceptors.5 Accordingly, the afferent input from a given stimulus will reflect on (1) the modality of the stimulus (e.g., thermal, mechanical, or chemical) and (2) the coactivation of several populations of afferents, which transduce that stimulus energy and a discharge frequency that covaries with stimulus intensity over a range reflecting a low versus high stimulus-intensity threshold (**Fig. 1-1**).

Psychophysical Correlates of Afferent Activity In normal, uninjured tissue, stimuli that give rise to activity in small sensory afferents evoke a psychophysical report of pain sensation in humans and a somatotopically organized escape response in animals (e.g., withdrawal of the stimulated limb). The intensity of the report and the vigor of the escape are typically monotonically correlated with stimulus intensity and, hence, with the frequency of discharge in a given sensory axon. Conversely, electrical activation of A*δ* nociceptors produces a short-lasting pricking sensation (first pain), whereas activation of C fibers results in a poorly localized burning sensation (second pain). In the absence of tissue injury, the removal of the stimulus leads to rapid abatement of the afferent input and disappearance of the pain sensation.

FIGURE 1-1. (**Top**) Schematic of sensory axon fiber with peripheral nerve ending. (**Bottom**) Two types of fibers—low-threshold A∂ and high-threshold A∂ and C fibers typically show little, if any, spontaneous activity but show a monotonic increase in response to increasing stimulus intensities. For the high-threshold afferents, the triggering threshold usually reflects temperatures that would correspond to a temperature at which a pain report would be elicited.

EXECUTE AFFERENT ACTIVITY AFTER TISSUE INJURY

Afferent Response After Tissue Injury If a stimulus produces a local injury, as in a tissue crush or incision, two events are observed to occur.

- 1. The normally silent sensory afferent begins to display a persistent bursting discharge that continues for an extended interval (minutes to hours) after the injuring stimulus is removed (**Fig. 1-2**).
- 2. The stimulus intensity required for activating the otherwise highthreshold afferent may fall significantly, such that otherwise moderately

Afferent C fiber-activity

FIGURE 1-2. Schematic presenting the firing rate to pinch and to tissue crush of a single, small, cutaneous afferent axon. Note that in the absence of stimulation, there is no spontaneous activity in this small afferent axon. After a brief pinch, there is a brief stimulus-linked discharge. Creation of tissue injury by a mechanical crush leads to a prolonged, ongoing discharge.

intense stimuli will be highly effective. In effect, this serves to shift the relationship between response (frequency of discharge) and stimulus intensity up, to the left, and increases its slope. The extreme example of this peripheral sensitization is the population of afferent C fibers referred to as silent nociceptors. These afferents are normally only poorly activated by even extreme mechanical stimuli. In the presence of tissue injury or inflammation, these previously silent afferents may develop spontaneous activity and a low mechanical threshold.

Origin of Persistent Afferent Activity The ongoing activity observed after injury originates from the terminal region of the sensory afferent and appears to have two sources:

- 1. Afferent terminals that are in the vicinity of the injury may develop spontaneous activity, in part because of local damage to the terminal that may result in an increase in local sodium channel activation.
- 2. A tissue-injuring stimulus will lead to the release of local factors that (a) directly activate the local terminals of afferents (that are otherwise silent), and (b) facilitate the discharge of the afferent in response to otherwise submaximal stimuli (**Fig. 1-3**). Some of these local factors are enumerated in **Table 1-2**. Importantly, exogenous administration of these products has been shown to directly excite

FIGURE 1-3. Schematic of local organization causing changes in the chemical milieu in the region of a local injury that lead to afferent activation and sensitization. Primary afferent terminal A: Local damaging stimulus leads to activation of the fine sensory afferent (C fiber). Activity proceeds orthodromically to the spinal cord and antidromically to invade local peripheral collaterals. This antidromic activity can depolarize the peripheral terminals and locally release their peptide content. The orthodromic traffic reaches the spinal cord and may serve to produce sufficient local depolarization in the dorsal horn that an antidromic action potential is generated in the terminals of an adjacent sensory axon. The antidromic activity generated by a local axon reflex and by the spinal component invades the distal terminal region locally to release neuropeptides (substance P [sP], calcitonin gene–related peptide [CGRP]). Local injury and the released hormones serve to activate local inflammatory cells. Hormones, such as bradykinin, prostaglandins, and cytokines, or K+/H+ released from inflammatory cells and plasma extravasation products result in stimulation and sensitization of free nerve endings.

°CGRP, calcitonin gene–related peptide; PAR, proteinase-activated receptor; PGE₂, prostaglandin E₂; prostaglandin I₂; sP, substance P; TXA₂, thromboxane A₂.68 .

C fibers and facilitate C-fiber firing, resulting in a shift to the left and increased slope of its frequency response curve.⁶ For the substances in which it has been examined, these agents, when applied to the skin of humans and animals, usually evoke pain behavior and increase the magnitude of the reported pain response evoked by a given stimulus (hyperalgesia) (**Fig. 1-4**).7,8

In short, peripheral mechanical and thermal stimuli will evoke intensity-dependent increases in firing rates of small afferents, and this response corresponds to the psychophysical report of pain sensation in humans and the vigor of the escape response in animals. Such stimuli may result in local injury and the subsequent elaboration of active products that directly activate the local terminals of afferents (which are otherwise essentially silent) innervating the injury region and facilitate their discharge in response to otherwise submaximal stimuli.

SPINAL SYSTEMS ENCODING SENSORY INPUT EVOKED BY INJURY

Sensory afferents project into the spinal dorsal horn and make synaptic contact with dorsal horn neurons. Two issues pertinent to our understanding of spinal organization should be considered: (1) the organization of the afferent termination in the dorsal horn and (2) the classes of neurons that receive these projections.

EXECUTE IS A GENERAL ORGANIZATION OF THE SPINAL DORSAL HORN

The spinal cord is divided into several broad anatomic regions (dorsal root entry zone, dorsal horn, and ventral horn; gray and white matter). These regions are further divided on the basis of descriptive anatomy into spinal lamina (Rexed)9,10 (**Fig. 1-5**).

FIGURE 1-4. Representation of the response of small axons innervating the skin to thermal stimuli before and after the injection of a local inflammatory substance (**left**) and the activity in an afferent to a range of knee joint motion before and after inflammation of the knee joint (**right**). Following the initiation of cutaneous inflammation, the afferent shows increasing spontaneous activity, a left shift, and an increase in the slope in the stimulus-response curve, indicating a facilitated response to the thermal stimulus. In the knee, the articular afferent shows little response to normal rotation and only fires in response to extreme rotation. After the initiation of joint inflammation, even mild rotation results in a significant discharge.

FIGURE 1-5. Schematic showing the Rexed lamination (**right**) and the approximate organization of the approach of the afferent to the spinal cord (**left**) as they enter at the dorsal root entry zone and then penetrate into the dorsal horn to terminate in laminae I and II (A/C) or penetrate more deeply to loop upward to terminate as high as the dorsum of lamina III (A*β*). Inset in lower left shows histologic appearance of the left dorsal quadrant. Note root entry zone, substantia gelatinosa, and large, myelinated axons.

EXPINAL TERMINALS OF PRIMARY AFFERENTS

Spinal Trajectory of Afferent Axons In the peripheral nerve, afferents are anatomically intermixed. As the sensory root approaches the spinal dorsal root entry zone, large afferents tend to move medially, and these displace smaller, unmyelinated afferents laterally. Upon entering the spinal cord at the dorsal root entry zone, the central processes of the afferents collateralize, sending fibers rostrally and caudally up to several segments in Lissauer's tract (small, C-fiber afferents) or in the dorsal columns (large afferents) and into the segment of entry. Upon penetrating into the parenchyma, the terminal fields ramify rostrally and caudally for several millimeters¹⁰ (Fig. 1-6).

Spinal Terminals of Afferent Axons In the spinal cord, terminals from the large, myelinated afferents are found in the deeper laminae (Rexed III–VI). Smaller myelinated fibers terminate in the marginal zone (Rexed lamina I), the ventral portion of lamina II, and throughout lamina III. Small-diameter, unmyelinated fibers (C fibers) largely terminate throughout lamina II and in lamina X around the central canal.

From a functional standpoint, this ramification emphasizes that neurons that lie distal to the segment of entry of the afferent will receive excitatory input. Electrophysiologic studies have shown that whereas the strongest excitation is observed in neurons in the segment of entry, excitation from the L5 root may be observed in cells as far as five to seven segments rostrally. As discussed later in this chapter, factors that alter

FIGURE 1-6. Schematic displaying the ramification of C fibers (**left**) into the dorsal horn and collateralization into Lissauer's tract and of A*β* fibers (**right**) into the dorsal columns and into the dorsal horn. Note that the densest terminations are within the segment of entry and that there are less-dense collateralizations into the dorsal horns at the more distal spinal segments. This density of collateralization corresponds to the potency of the excitatory drive into these distal segments.

ATP, adenosine triphosphate.

the excitability of these distant neurons may thus increase the apparent size of the receptive field for a given neuron. $11,12$

EXPRIMARY AFFERENT TRANSMITTERS

Activation of primary afferents typically induces a postsynaptic excitation. Excitation is mediated by the release of neurotransmitters from the afferent terminal. Considerable effort has been directed at establishing the identity of the excitatory neurotransmitters in the primary afferent. Some of these are listed in **Table 1-3**. 13

Characteristics of Primary Afferent Transmitters A number of properties characterize the transmitters that are contained in and released from the primary afferent. Peptides and glutamate have been shown to exist within subpopulations of small, type B dorsal root ganglion cells (giving rise to C fibers) and are in laminae I and II of the dorsal horn of the spinal cord, where the majority of primary afferent terminals are found (**Fig. 1-7**). These levels in the dorsal horn are reduced by rhizotomy or ganglionectomy, or both, or by treatment with the small afferent neurotoxin, capsaicin.

Many peptides present in large, dense core vesicles (e.g., substance P and calcitonin gene–related peptide) as well as excitatory amino acids in small, clear core synaptic vesicles (glutamate) are present in and released from the same terminal. Iontophoretic application onto the dorsal horn of the several amino acids and peptides found in primary afferents has been shown to produce excitatory effects. Amino acids produce a very rapid, short-lasting depolarization. Peptides produce a delayed and long-lasting depolarization. Local spinal administration of several agents, such as substance P and glutamate, does yield pain behavior, suggesting their possible role as transmitters in the pain process.¹⁴

FIGURE 1-7. Histochemistry showing distribution of substance P immunoreactivity in laminae I and II (substantia gelatinosa) of the dorsal horn.

A large proportion of nociceptive dorsal horn neurons are contacted by substance P–containing terminals. Administration of noxious, but not innocuous, stimulation to the tissue results in release of several of these peptides into the spinal dorsal horn. With regard to the excitatory amino acids, their release has been evoked by acute and chronic nociceptive stimuli, including joint inflammation. Unlike the peptides, amino acids are also present in large primary afferents, and their spinal release can also be induced by activation of A*β* fibers.

■ CLASSES OF DORSAL HORN NEURONS

Anatomically, dorsal horn neurons may be broadly described in terms of their location (marginal layer, substantia gelatinosa, and the nucleus proprius), size (small, magnocellular), and functional response properties and neurochemistry. The complexity of this region accordingly cannot be overstated. For practical purposes related to nociceptive processing, it is reasonable to consider the functional properties of two principal classes of neurons. Electrophysiologic recording from single neurons in the spinal dorsal horn reveals several populations that are activated by high-intensity stimuli: nociceptive specific (marginal cells) and wide dynamic range (lamina V neurons) (**Fig. 1-8**).

Nociceptive-specific Neurons Marginal neurons, located in lamina I of the dorsal horn, are large neurons that are oriented transversely across the cap of the dorsal gray matter and receive input from small unmyelinated and lightly myelinated afferents (see Fig. 1-5). Some project to the thalamus via ipsilateral and contralateral ascending pathways, and others project intra- and intersegmentally along the dorsal and dorsolateral white matter. Populations of these neurons respond selectively to intense cutaneous and muscle stimulation. Whereas some are modality specific (e.g., firing in response only to thermal or mechanical stimuli), others respond to both types of stimuli. Activity in these subpopulations of neurons provides an unambiguous message that nociceptors have activated. Other prominent cell types in lamina I include (1) thermoreceptive "cool" cells that are activated and inhibited by innocuous cooling and warming, respectively, and (2) heat, pinch, and cold cells that are similar to traditional marginal cells but also are excited by noxious cold.15

Wide-dynamic-range (WDR) Neurons The cell bodies of lamina V cells are located in the nucleus proprius of the dorsal horn and send their apical dendrites up into laminae II and III (see Fig. 1-8). An important property of these cells is that they receive convergent input from a variety of functionally distinct primary afferents.¹⁶ Practically, these cells demonstrate three kinds of convergence.

- 1. *Fiber response properties.* As indicated schematically in Figure 1-8, WDR-type cells receive input both from large, typically low-threshold afferents that project deep into the dorsal horn and from small, typically high-threshold afferents that project only into laminae I and II. As suggested schematically, some of this input, particularly that which is superficial, is mediated by excitatory interneurons. These WDR cells accordingly display a graded increase in the frequency of response to stimuli that are progressively more intense and recruit increasingly higher threshold populations of afferents A*β* to A∂ and C. This convergence thus permits these single cells to integrate input activated by a wide range of stimuli that vary in intensity, with higher frequency firing being noted as stimulus intensity rises.
- 2. *Spatial convergence.* As outlined earlier in Figure 1-6, primary afferents entering a specific segment have their primary excitatory input on dorsal horn neurons in that segment of entry. Still, it is clear that such axons can collateralize and mediate an excitatory effect on cells that lie in distal dermatomes. Accordingly, the size of the dermatome of that segment is actually larger than the peripheral distribution of the afferents in the root of that respective segment. As noted later in this discussion, it is likely that the size of the receptive field of a given neuron may be increased by conditions that lead to an enhancement of its excitability as inputs that are relatively weak become able to drive activity in that now-"sensitized" spinal neuron.

FIGURE 1-8. Schematic representing the morphology and dendritic pattern (**left**) of a lamina V, wide-dynamic-range neuron (**top**) and a lamina I, marginal neuron (**bottom**). The firing patterns of the respective classes of neurons are indicated in the representation on the right, in which a poststimulus time histogram shows the frequency of firing in response to four graded, mechanical stimuli ranging from innocuous (brush/press) to noxious (pinch/squeeze).

3. *Organ convergence.* Depending on the spinal level, a WDR neuron can be activated by input traveling with the sympathetics (e.g., as activated by distention of hollow viscera [bladder, small intestine, and gallbladder]), injection of bradykinin into the mesenteric artery, close intraarterial administration of bradykinin or the injection of hypertonic saline into muscle/tendon, or group III afferent stimulation from the gastrocnemius. The same WDR neuron can thus be excited by cutaneous or deep (muscle and joint) input applied within the dermatome that coincides with the segmental location of these spinal cells. Thus, stimulation of the skin and muscles of the left shoulder and upper arm $(T_i-T_s$ dermatome) activates WDR neurons that are also excited by coronary artery occlusion. These results indicate that the phenomenon of *referred* visceral pain, for example, has its substrate in the anatomic convergence of input from viscera, muscle, and skin onto the same populations of dorsal horn neurons.¹⁷ Migraine is another example of such organ convergence. The migraine pain referred to the head arises from activation of dural afferents that project to neurons in the nucleus caudalis which receive input from afferents arising from homologous extracranial tissues. Accordingly, the migraine pain is referred to those extracranial regions.

ASCENDING SPINAL TRACTS

Clinical experience based on local spinal lesions of the ventrolateral quadrant suggests that pain is a "crossed" pathway with relevant projections traveling in the contralateral ventrolateral white matter. Midline myelotomies that destroy fibers crossing the midline at the levels of the cut produce bilateral pain deficits. These observations suggest that the relevant pathways for nociception are predominantly crossed. Similarly, stimulation of the ventrolateral tracts in awake subjects undergoing percutaneous cordotomies results in reports of contralateral warmth and pain. In accord with these observations, tract-tracing studies and electrophysiologic investigations emphasize that activity evoked in the spinal cord by high-threshold stimuli reaches supraspinal sites by several long and tract systems that travel within the ventrolateral quadrant¹⁸ (Fig. 1-9).

Spinoreticular Fibers Spinoreticular axons originating in laminae V through VIII terminate ipsilaterally and contralaterally to their spinal site or origin. In the medulla, the fibers aggregate laterally, and collaterals of these fibers terminate in the more medially situated brainstem reticular nuclei. Reticulothalamic afferents excited by this input then project to the thalamus.

FIGURE 1-9. Schematic demonstrating the ascending crossed projections from dorsal horn neurons into the brainstem (spinoreticular) and into the thalamus (spinothalamic). The ventrobasal thalamus receives somatically mapped input from the spinal cord and projects this input into the somatosensory cortex, where the somatotopy is preserved. Other projections go to the medial thalamus and, from there, to a variety of limbic forebrain sites.

Spinomesencephalic Fibers Spinomesencephalic tracts originate primarily in lamina I, with a smaller component from laminae VI through VIII and X. They project into the mesencephalic reticular formation and the lateral periaqueductal gray matter.

Spinothalamic Fibers The cells of origin of this tract, the most extensively studied of the ventrolateral tract systems, are not limited to the dorsal gray matter, but are found throughout laminae I through VII and X of the spinal gray matter. Axons originating in the marginal layer and the neck of the nucleus proprius ascend predominantly in the contralateral ventral quadrant. Spinothalamic axons differentiate into a lateral and medial component in the posterior portion of the thalamus: The medial component passes through the internal medullary lamina to terminate in the nucleus parafascicularis and in the intralaminar and paralaminar nuclei. The majority of fibers pass laterally to terminate throughout the nucleus ventralis posterolateralis, the medial aspect of the posterior nucleus complex, and the intralaminar nuclei. A significant proportion of the neurons projecting laterally in the thalamus (ventral posterior lateral complex) also project to the medial thalamic regions such as the VMpo (ventromedial pars oralis) and Mediodorsalis portion (**Fig. 1-10**).

Suprathalamic Projection Projections to higher centers include specific mapping of input from the ventrobasal complex into the somatosensory cortex and multiple outputs particularly from the medial (VMpo/ Mediodorsalis) and intralaminar nuclei projects diffusely to wide areas of the cerebral cortex, including the frontal, parietal, and limbic regions. Positron emission tomographic (PET) scanning studies in humans have confirmed that noxious stimuli will activate the appropriate cortical regions in the somatosensory cortex and limbic forebrain regions such as the insula and anterior cingulate gyrus 19 (see Fig. 1-10).

■ SIGNIFICANCE OF ASCENDING PATHWAYS: SENSORY-DISCRIMINATIVE AND AFFECTIVE-MOTIVATIONAL

Early thinking made the useful conjecture that pain could be considered in terms of two principal components: the sensory-discriminative and the affective-motivational components.20 An important question is whether this functional distinction finds parallels in the underlying physiology and connectivity of the substrates thus far examined as being relevant to nociceptive processing.

At present, it is appreciated that the WDR neurons typically project into the ventrobasal thalamus, where their input is mapped precisely onto a sensory homunculus. These cells then project rostrally to the somatosensory cortex, where that input is similarly mapped onto a sensory homunculus.

In this system, each site on the body surface is faithfully mapped, and this map is maintained to the cortex. This system is uniquely able to preserve anatomic information and information regarding the intensity of the stimulus (as initially provided by the frequency response characteristics of the WDR neuron). This system is able to provide the information necessary for mapping the *sensory-discriminative* dimension of pain.

On the other hand, it has become evident that marginal, nociceptivespecific neurons also project contralaterally into the thalamus. This input thus provides one aspect of a circuit that appears to be activated by only particularly intense stimuli. This input function is defined by the response properties of the spinal marginal cell. It might be speculated that this circuit may underlie the *affective-motivational* component of the pain pathway.

The preceding recitation of the pathways through which afferent information evoked by high-threshold information travels reflects what traditionally is known as the pain pathway. In fact, this schematic, although correct, vastly oversimplifies the true organization. At every synapse, the transmission through the dorsal horn and brainstem is subject to significant modulation.

FIGURE 1-10. Sensory input into the spinal cord leads to the local activation of complex linkages that eventually project rostrally in the contralateral-ventrolateral pathways to medullary and diencephalic structures. In this schematic organization, it is emphasized that these ascending projections provide input in the lateral thalamus, which is somatotopically organized and projects from there into the somatosensory cortex. Importantly, a significant portion of the ascending traffic travels medially and makes synaptic contact in these medial regions with ascending projections that travel to the limbic cortex, such as the anterior cingulate cortex. Organizationally, it has been suggested that these different projection targets reflect upon substrates that underlie the "sensory-discriminative" and "affective-motivational" aspects of the pain experience.

In some instances, it is believed that the modulation may serve to diminish the pain message (i.e., endogenous analgesic systems). However, as subsequently discussed, there are several circumstances in which a repetitive afferent drive results in the involvement of an active facilitation of the message. In other cases, the nonaversive nature of large afferent stimulation (A*β*) reflects the continued presence of small inhibitory interneurons that alter large afferent input, but have no effect on activity in C fibers.

DYNAMIC ASPECTS OF ENCODING OF INJURY-GENERATED INPUT

The preceding section emphasized that tissue injury yielded activity in small primary afferents and that small afferent input resulted in monosynaptic and polysynaptic excitation of dorsal horn neurons that projected to the brainstem and higher centers. Importantly, the pathway appears to preserve several properties of the stimulus, the anatomic sign (localization), and intensity. Thus, input from an area of skin might be expected to activate a given population of spinal neurons that received afferent input from that part of the body surface, and the intensity of the stimulus was mirrored either by the specific neuronal population activated (e.g., nociceptive specific cells) or by the frequency of the discharge (as with the WDR neurons), or both. This linkage, even in its simplest form, would be described as the "pain pathway" as it reflects the connectivity by which afferent traffic generated by tissue injury reaches higher centers and the conscious state. This afferent substrate, in fact, represents only one component of the system that is essential to the processing of nociceptive input. The excitation of dorsal horn neurons evoked by small afferent input is subject to modulation by a number of receptor systems within the spinal cord. Technically, this modulation may be thought of in terms of those systems that increase or decrease the efficacy of synaptic connections of the afferent pathway.

EXPLASTICITY OF THE ENCODING OF PERSISTENT AFFERENT INPUT

Acute activation of small afferents by high-intensity mechanical or thermal stimuli will result in a clearly defined pain behavior in humans and animals. This event is believed to be mediated by the release of the excitatory afferent transmitters outlined earlier and, consequently, the depolarization of projection neurons. The magnitude of the response of a dorsal horn neuron, either WDR or nociceptive specific, is related to the frequency (and identity) of the afferent input.

The frequency of the afferent input is proportional to the magnitude of the acutely applied stimulus. The organization of this system's response to an acute stimulus is thus typically modeled in terms of a monotonic (linear) relationship between activity in the peripheral afferent and the activity of neurons that project out of the spinal cord to the brain.

As previously noted, in the face of tissue injury, the afferent input is characterized by a persistent afferent barrage. As discussed subsequently, such input reveals the initiation of a variety of inhibitor and facilitatory processes that lead to a nonlinear increase in spinal output.

WE INTRINSIC MODULATORY PROCESSES

The activation of dorsal horn neurons by afferent input can be modulated in such a fashion as to be decreased by several discrete systems.

Large Afferent Axon Interactions Dorsal horn WDR neurons can be inhibited by transient activation of large primary afferents. Such inhibition is mediated by a local spinal circuit that produces primary afferent depolarization (PAD), which exerts an inhibitory effect on the terminals of adjacent afferents. This serves to reduce the amount of neurotransmitter released from the afferent fibers in response to a fixed input. PAD is mediated by release from local interneurons in the substantia gelatinosa of the inhibitory amino acids, γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) and glycine.21 In human psychophysical studies, vibratory stimuli applied to a painful area served to activate large myelinated fibers and reduced perception of chronic musculoskeletal pain. Dorsal column stimulation by antidromically activating collaterals of large primary afferent fibers would also induce PAD, and this mechanism may account for some of the antinociceptive actions of dorsal column stimulation.

It is important to appreciate that the presence of these small inhibitory interneurons is crucial for the ongoing encoding of large afferent input. Thus, the spinal action of GABA_A and glycine receptor inhibitors will induce a potent tactile allodynia. These results suggest that the nonaversive characteristics of large afferent stimulation depend upon this

ongoing modulation. Studies in nerve injury–induced pain states have suggested that there is a loss of glycine or GABAergic inhibition secondary to the loss of dorsal horn neurons. The reduction in such inhibition may provide a partial explanation of the potent allodynia that accompanies such nerve injury states. An alternative event is that in the face of chronic inflammation and nerve injury, that there is a change in the expression of a neuronal chloride transporter that leads to an increase in intracellular Cl. In this case, opening a chloride ionophore will lead to an *exit* of anions that results in membrane *depolarization*. This anomolous event results in the GABA A and Glycine channels transitioning from an inhibitory to an excitatory phenotype.²² Thus, the very circuit that would otherwise reduce large afferent excitation would itself become facilitatory.

Bulbospinal and Spinal Modulation Considerable evidence indicates that a variety of spinal terminal systems may serve to modulate nociceptive processing at the level of the spinal dorsal horn. Early work demonstrated that activation of bulbospinal pathways would suppress spinal nociceptive processing and produce a behaviorally defined analgesia by the release of noradrenaline and the activation of dorsal horn α ₂ receptors. Evidence that small afferent activation could indirectly activate systems that mediated the spinal release of hormones, such as enkephalin or noradrenaline, which could act at such modulatory receptors, supported the perspective that nociceptive processing was under a tonic endogenous inhibition. In spite of the observation that activating these receptors (e.g., spinal injection of opiate and α , agonists) could yield a powerful analgesia by an action pre- and postsynaptic to the small afferent terminal, the delivery of antagonists for these receptors has surprisingly modest effects on spontaneous pain thresholds. This suggests that however potent a modulatory system these several receptors represent, these endogenous systems are not in a tonically active mode, and downregulation of small afferent input is not a pervasive component of the ongoing processing of input generated by tissue injury.

WE INTRINSIC FACILITATORY PROCESSES: REPETITIVE SMALL AFFERENT INPUT

WDR neurons in the spinal and medullary dorsal horn display a stable response to the discrete, periodic activation of afferent C fibers. However, repetitive stimulation of C (but not A) fibers at a moderately faster rate results in a progressively facilitated discharge. This facilitated response is called "wind-up" (see Fig. 1-9). This condition has three properties:

- 1. The conditioning serves to enhance the response of the dorsal horn neuron to subsequent input, such that a given stimulus yields a greater response that would otherwise be anticipated from that stimulus.
- 2. The conditioning of the spinal cord with repetitive small afferent stimulation has the additional effect of increasing the receptive field size of the neuron. Thus, afferent input from dermatomal areas that previously did not activate the WDR neuron being studied now evokes a prominent response. The anatomic substrate for this increased receptive field size is believed to reflect the otherwise weak excitatory input that comes from collaterals of afferents innervating the skin areas adjacent to the injury. In the face of the induction of a facilitated state in the specific neuron under study, this otherwise ineffective excitatory drive becomes adequate to drive depolarization. Intracellular recording reveals that the facilitated state reflects a progressive and sustained partial depolarization of the cell, rendering the membrane increasingly susceptible to afferent input.
- 3. Low-threshold tactile stimulation also becomes increasingly effective in driving these neurons. This facilitation by repetitive C-fiber input, therefore, increases the subsequent neuronal response to low-threshold afferent input and enhances the response generated by a given noxious afferent input. These mechanisms are discussed further in the next sections.